

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>
	10/691,616	MORIYAMA ET AL.
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>
	Raeann Gorden	3711

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(1) Raeann Gorden.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Andrew Meikle.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 14 February 2005

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic  
 Video Conference  
 Personal (Copy given to:  Applicant  Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:  Yes  No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

10

Prior art documents discussed:

na


**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*Gorden phoned Meikle to amend or cancel new claim 10 for not further limiting the previous claim. Meikle agreed to change the dependency of claim 10 from 9 to 8*

**Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**RAEANN GORDEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)